

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/939,716	08/28/2001	Kazushige Yonenaga	011070 2708		
23850 7590 08/31/2007 KRATZ, QUINTOS & HANSON, LLP 1420 K Street, N.W. Suite 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			EXAMINER LEUNG, WAI LUN		
			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
				DEL IVERY MODE	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/31/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s) YONENAGA ET AL.	
09/939,716		
Examiner	Art Unit	
Wai Lun Leung	2613	

Before the filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Wai Lun Leung	2613			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 21 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.	•			
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	•				
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	• • •	moliant Amendment	(PTOL_324)		
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ul>		inpliant Amendment	(I TOL-524).		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>13 and 16</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>13 and 10</u> .  Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12,14,15 and 17-19</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		•		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		*			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.		
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. ☑ Other: amended claims requires further search and con					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: amendments to claims 10, 13-17, and 19 changes the scopes of all of the claims.

JASON CHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600